Title: Appeal Decisions Item 6

Author: Michael Ovenden – Head of Development Control (01799) 510476

APPELLANT	LOCATION	APPLICATION NO	DESCRIPTION	APPEAL DATE & DECISION	DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION	SUMMARY OF DECISION
Mr & Mrs J Lloyd	Land at Mill Race Barn Bran End Stebbing	ENF/146/10/B	Appeal against enforcement notice relating to unlawful erection of stables, tack room and domestic store.	2 JUNE 2011 DISMISSED	16 Nov 2010	The Inspector took the view that the building was large and the combination of its size and its incongruous roof covering made it unacceptable. That stables are commonplace in the countryside and storage was only a small part of the building did not amount to special reasons for development in the countryside. The appellants attempt to draw a comparison to agricultural permitted development was found unconvincing. The enforcement notice requiring demolition was confirmed. With regard to the period for compliance with the notice the Inspector split the difference between the 6 months on the enforcement notice and the 12 months requested by the appellant and gave 9 months.  The Inspector rejected an application for costs based on a claim that the Council had acted unreasonably. (KW)
Appeal A & B Mr S Wiseman	Yew Cottage, Bilden End Chrishall	UTT/2301/10/FUL UTT/2203/10/LB	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for demolition of the existing single side extension and the erection of a 2 storey side extension incorporating 2 no. dormer windows and a basement, together with the	14 JUN 2011 DISMISSED	3 Feb 2011	The Inspector noted that the cottage had been extensively fire damaged and that the scheme was a larger version of one permitted in 2008. He concluded that the extension would overpower and therefore detract from the modest appearance and special architectural and historic interest of the cottage (RM)

			insertion of a first floor window to the rear elevation.			
Birchanger Hall Farms Ltd	Avon Engineering, Duck End, Birchanger	UTT/1733/10/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for extension of commercial premises	15 JUN 2011 DISMISSED	17 Sep 2010	The Inspector judged that the extension would be inappropriate development in the greenbelt, would detract from its openness and found no very special circumstances to justify a grant of permission. (JM)
Mr & Mrs Hayles	Hazeldene, Carmel Street, Great Chesterford	UTT/1021/10/LB	Appeal against refusal to grant listed building consent for leanto glazed structure to rear	16 JUN 2011 DISMISSED	29 May 2010	The Inspector concluded that the lean-tos significant depth, resultant shallow roof pitch and use of aluminium frame with rectilinear sections would harm the character of the listed building and as a consequence the character of the conservation area. He dismissed the appellant's argument that the list description only refers to the front elevation by stating that the building is listed because of its overall intrinsic quality. (RM)
Mr AC Young	9 Princes Well, Radwinter	UTT/0228/11/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for two storey rear extension and front porch enlargement	16 JUN 2011 ALLOWED	12 Apr 2011	The Inspector was content to permit the rear extension as it was at the back of the house and therefore wouldn't detract from the conservation area (interesting contrast with the above decision) and permitted the front porch because it "would not cause significant additional harm" to the integrity of the terrace. The Inspector gave the Energy efficiency SPD little weight. (LG)